

2022 AC World Teams Tier 1 – MacRobertson Shield

WCF Event Player Survey

16 responses: 6 English, 4 US, 3 New Zealand and 3 Australian.

| Question | Results | Comments |
|--|--|---|
| Was the pre-event organisation and information provided satisfactory? | Yes = 16 | Covid discussions were too slow and Go/No Go decision could have been made earlier. |
| Did you attend the player briefing? If so, was the information well-presented and useful? Was the scheduling of the briefing convenient? | Yes = 16 | The actual briefing didn't include anything that hadn't already been shared by email |
| Were the official functions e.g .Opening and Closing Ceremonies, Tournament Dinner etc well organised and enjoyable? | Very good = 7 Good = 4 Satisfactory = 5 | Several players commented that it was a shame the closing dinner was cancelled due to Covid, but most thought the outdoors, afternoon tea that was hurriedly arranged instead, was very good. Some players thought the pricing of the events were a bit steep. |
| Was your number of games each day too many, not enough or about right? | About right = 14 Not enough = 2 | General view is that for this event Bo3 is correct. |
| Was the overall duration of the event too long, too short or about right? | About right = 7 Too long = 8 Too short = 1 | 7 comments, all basically saying that the event is too big a commitment of annual leave and should be shorter. One or two asked the format to remain as is. |
| Was the event well-managed? | Yes = 16 | The use of WhatsApp (including a "Manager and Captains" group) for sharing information about team orders, lawn scheduled and other news was a new innovation and a success. Kevin was excellent. |
| Was the event well-referee'd? | Yes = 11 No = 5 | There were actually quite a few comments that some referees were not as good as expected, particularly with respect to knowledge of laws, marking behaviours and use of hoops as props to get up and down. |
| Were the courts of suitable quality? | Yes = 12 No = 4 | Lawn 2 generally considered poor and not flat enough. Other lawns got mixed reviews by the players for the slopes and hills. |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | | Allocation of lawns didn't always seem logical or fair. |
| Were the balls of suitable quality? | Yes = 12 No - 4 | The playing characteristics of the latest Dawsons (humpties) were felt to be too variable, although some said the balls were fine. Good shots were not always rewarded given some odd reactions, particularly on rushes. |
| Were the hoops well set? | Yes = 16 | Good feedback on the quality of the hoop setting and several players thanked the hard work of the hoop setters. |
| How would you rate the venue overall, including facilities and catering? | Very good = 8 Good = 6 Satisfactory = 2 | Several players thanked the caterers, Rosie and Helena, with comments such as outstanding and excellent being used. Several players thanked all the other volunteers for their time and effort. The lawns could have been better. The lack of atmosphere at Cairnlea was disappointing. |
| How would you rate the event overall? | Very good = 12 Good = 4 | Finishing on a Monday was questioned, with a view that more spectators would have come to the final if it had been at the weekend. This was supported by other comments that the atmosphere was poor, with few spectators. It was queried whether this might have been due to the awful weather, or the impact of available livestreaming. More thanks to the army of volunteers. A request for an Event Badge as a keepsake. |